

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7 AT SEATTLE

8 CLARENCE D. JOHNSON,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 CDC COVID 19 CRIMES, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.

Cause No. C21-0572RSL

ORDER REQUIRING A MORE  
DEFINITE STATEMENT

13 On May 21, 2021, plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* was granted and  
14 his complaint was accepted for filing. The complaint contains little more than lists of  
15 individuals/entities, some of whom are associated with a description (*e.g.*, "Head Religious  
16 Ethics Committee"), a conclusory accusation (*e.g.*, "Crimes of Treason Daily"), and/or a phone  
17 number. There is no indication what any of the identified persons or entities did to plaintiff. It is  
18 also unclear what relief plaintiff seeks.<sup>1</sup>  
19  
20

---

21 <sup>1</sup> The relief requested section of the form complaint states the following:

22 Arrest Detain Prisoner + property  
23 Seizure United States is Behind  
24 Over 12 yrs. Foreign Policy Guidelines  
25 All Government. Feds, State,  
26 Politicians, Judges etc.  
27 See Angela Byers  
28 FBI 513-421-4310  
And United Nations

1 The Court, having reviewed the record as a whole under the standards articulated in 28  
2 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) and having construed the allegations of the complaint liberally (*see*  
3 *Bernhardt v. Los Angeles County*, 339 F.3d 920, 925 (9th Cir. 2003)), finds that plaintiff's  
4 complaint is deficient. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a)(2) requires "a short and plain  
5 statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." A complaint will be  
6 dismissed unless it states a cognizable legal theory that is supported by sufficient facts to state a  
7 "plausible" ground for relief. *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007); *Shroyer v.*  
8 *New Cingular Wireless Servs., Inc.*, 622 F.3d 1035, 1041 (9th Cir. 2010). All well-pleaded  
9 allegations are presumed to be true, with all reasonable inferences drawn in favor of the non-  
10 moving party. *In re Fitness Holdings Int'l, Inc.*, 714 F.3d 1141, 1144-45 (9th Cir. 2013).  
11 Although a complaint need not provide detailed factual allegations, it must give rise to  
12 something more than mere speculation that plaintiff has a right to relief. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at  
13 555. The individuals and entities identified by plaintiff would have to guess what acts they are  
14 supposed to have committed and how those acts relate to, much less establish, a claim against  
15 them. At a bare minimum, Rule 8(a) mandates that plaintiff "give the defendant fair notice of  
16  
17  
18

---

19 Honor Motion's First Stay Away Order Job's Doctor's  
20 Family + Friends No contact  
21 Relocation Family. (seeking 1 Billion Dollars.)  
22 Honor Crime Victim's Compensation  
23 Follow United Nations Ethics Guidances  
24 Obstruction Justice  
25 Covering up Federal  
26 Crimes

See Cochran Law Firm  
1-800-843-3476  
Additional Damages

27 Dkt. # 7 at 3.


28 ORDER REQUIRING A MORE  
DEFINITE STATEMENT - 2

1 what the ... claim is and the grounds upon which it rests.” *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555 (quoting  
2 *Conley v. Gibson*, 355 U.S. 41, 47 (1957)). The complaint fails to serve this vital purpose.  
3

4  
5 For all of the foregoing reasons, the Court declines to issue a summons in this matter.  
6 Plaintiff is hereby ORDERED to file on or before June 21, 2021, an amended complaint which  
7 clearly and concisely identifies the acts of which each named defendant is accused and how  
8 those acts violated plaintiff’s legal rights. The key to filing an acceptable amended complaint  
9 will be providing enough facts that each defendant has sufficient notice to mount a defense and  
10 from which one could plausibly infer that plaintiff has a viable legal claim and a right to relief  
11 against each defendant. The amended complaint will replace the existing complaint in its  
12 entirety. Failure to timely file an amended complaint that asserts a plausible claim for relief will  
13 result in dismissal of this action.  
14

15  
16 The Clerk of Court is directed to place this Order Requiring More Definite Statement on  
17 the Court’s calendar for consideration on Friday, June 25, 2021.  
18

19  
20 Dated this 24th day of May, 2021.

21   
22 \_\_\_\_\_  
23 Robert S. Lasnik  
24 United States District Judge  
25  
26  
27